

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,494		10/03/2003	David M. Ledvina	001432-0157	3363	
20572	7590	11/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GODFREY			TRETTEL,	TRETTEL, MICHAEL		
780 NORTH WATER STREET MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•		3673		
				DATE MAILED: 11/26/200-	DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		~)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/678,494	LEDVINA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Trettel	3673
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The 3 This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		·
4)	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicate Iority documents have been receive Iority (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	-	(DTO 440)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/26/04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal f 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18, 19, and 22 to 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abe (US 5,308,163). Abe shows an inflatable bag 11 formed by an upper and lower sheet 14, 15 of polyethylene material which are joined together by heat sealing around the edges. An opening 12 is formed along one of the edges to allow the introduction of a flat check valve 1 before the edges are heat sealed completely. The check valve 1 comprises rectangular top and bottom sheets 2, 3 heat sealed along side edges 18, 19 to form a fluid passage, and a pair of heat seals 16, 17 that form a valve structure inside the passage. The heat seals are formed as curved or arcuate portions that extend into the passage to define an opening that narrows from the exterior side of the valve to the interior side. Note the method of assembly detailed in column 4, lines 55 to 68, and column 5, lines 1 to 15.

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 to 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stumpf (US 3,638,253) in view of Abe (US 5,308,163). Stumpf shows a disposable pillow formed from a bilayered sheet material 11 created by laminating a polyethylene layer 16 with a fibrous nonwoven layer 14. The pillow can be created by folding a rectangular sheet in half and sealing the edges, or by sealing two separate sheets of material around the edges. A valve structure 17 formed by a tube 19 can be sealed into one of the edges to allow inflation of the pillow. Note that the tube is disclosed as being made from a drinking straw. Stumpf thus shows the basic pillow structure claimed, including the differing methods of assembly and the use of the multilayered material to form the pillow. The simple valve structure shown must be positively sealed by folding over the straw after inflation and securing it in place. Abe teaches the use of a superior check valve assembly formed from two sheets of material that is used in combination with an inflatable bag very similar to the Stumpf pillow. The check valve does not require any positive action for operation, since it automatically seals itself under the influence of air pressure within the bag once inflated. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to have replaced the valve structure shown by Stumpf with the check valve structure taught by Abe for this reason. In addition, the dimensions used to create the pillow and valve structure are within the

ordinary level of skill in the art, since the applicant has not shown any particular criticality associated with the claimed dimensions they are regarded as being within the ordinary level of skill in the art. Finally, the examiner notes that it is common practice to provide a disposable inflatable article (such as a Mylar balloon or the like) with an attached straw or tube to allow for easy inflation of the device, this feature is therefore considered to be within the ordinary level of skill in the art and to have been obvious.

Claims 25 to 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe (US 5,308,163). The dimensions used to create the pillow and valve structure are within the ordinary level of skill in the art, since the applicant has not shown any particular criticality associated with the claimed dimensions they are regarded as being within the ordinary level of skill in the art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Perez et al, Graf, Lo, and Chen show inflatable articles that include flat check valve structures which are of general interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Trettel whose telephone number is 703-308-0416. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, or Friday from 7.30 am to 5.00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,494 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford, can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

> Michael Trettel **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3673